#### HERMAN GIBB, PhD, 4-9-09

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE 1 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA 2 3 4 W. A. DREW EDMONDSON, in his ) capacity as ATTORNEY GENERAL ) 5 OF THE STATE OF OKLAHOMA and ) OKLAHOMA SECRETARY OF THE 6 ENVIRONMENT C. MILES TOLBERT,) 7 in his capacity as the TRUSTEE FOR NATURAL RESOURCES) FOR THE STATE OF OKLAHOMA, Plaintiff, 9 )4:05-CV-00329-TCK-SAJ 10 vs. 11 TYSON FOODS, INC., et al, Defendants. 12 13 THE VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION OF 14 HERMAN GIBB, PhD, produced as a witness on behalf 15 of the Plaintiff in the above styled and numbered 16 cause, taken on the 9th day of April, 2009, in the 17 City of Tulsa, County of Tulsa, State of Oklahoma, 18 before me, Lisa A. Steinmeyer, a Certified Shorthand 19 Reporter, duly certified under and by virtue of the 20 laws of the State of Oklahoma. 21 22 23 24 25

> TULSA FREELANCE REPORTERS 918-587-2878

EXHIBIT

5

1

### HERMAN GIBB, PhD, 4-9-09

| 1  | what research the results of the research.                   |   |
|----|--|---|
| 2  | Q As of today, the method for determining                    |   |
| 3  | whether there is a risk to human health, which is            |   |
| 4  | the accepted way to do it in this country, is to             | ł |
| 5  | apply the standards that the EPA has promulgated, is 10:45AM |   |
| 6  | that correct, when we deal with primary body                 | Ì |
| 7  | contact?   |   |
| 8  | A Well, that's what, you know, was advanced in               |   |
| 9  | 1986. That's what we're using today. I think, you            |   |
| 10 | know, to pull it back would be to say, well, states 10:46AM  |   |
| 11 | say what do we do, we need to have something. So             |   |
| 12 | that's what's being used. That doesn't mean that             |   |
| 13 | it's the best we have or the best we can do. It's            |   |
| 14 | what we have right now, and there's a need by states         |   |
| 15 | to have some kind of a standard so it doesn't 10:46AM        |   |
| 16 | necessarily say the standard is good or that we are          |   |
| 17 | even and that the standard is even good at                   |   |
| 18 | predicting what the health risk is, but it is a              |   |
| 19 | standard, and that's what states want to have. So            | Ţ |
| 20 | that's what we use. 10:46AM                                  |   |
| 21 | Q Then what standard do you recommend that this              |   |
| 22 | court apply in determining whether there is a risk           |   |
| 23 | to human health due to the conditions found in the           |   |
| 24 | Illinois River watershed?                                    |   |
| 25 | A I'm not in a position to recommend a standard. 10:47AM     |   |
|    |  |   |

TULSA FREELANCE REPORTERS 918-587-2878

# HERMAN GIBB, PhD, 4-9-09

| _  |  |         |
|----|--|---------|
|    |  |         |
| 1  | I mean, I think that's, you know, the going to be    |         |
| 2  | the result of the research that the agency is doing. |         |
| 3  | I mean, I wouldn't advance a particular standard     |         |
| 4  | but but that's my answer.                            |         |
| 5  | <b>Q</b> Okay. Let's go to the more specific issues. | 10:47AM |
| 6  | Earlier we talked about the fact that Salmonella     |         |
| 7  | and that poultry is a known reservoir for            |         |
| 8  | Salmonella and Campylobacter. What is meant by       |         |
| 9  | reservoir?   | •       |
| 10 | A It means that they they have the bacterium         | 10:48AM |
| 11 | in them. They don't get sick from Salmonella but     |         |
| 12 | they are a reservoir.                                | į       |
| 13 | Q Okay, and both of those are enteric, that is,      |         |
| 14 | they are in the feces of the birds; is that correct? |         |
| 15 | A They're in the gut.                                | 10:48AM |
| 16 | Q Okay.  |         |
| 17 | A I mean, they could be in the gut, you know,        |         |
| 18 | but  |         |
| 19 | Q And they come out in the feces, do they not?       |         |
| 20 | A Yeah. I presume, yeah, they would they             | 10:48AM |
| 21 | could come out of the feces, but, you know, I think  |         |
| 22 | the you know, what the implication of that is how    |         |
| 23 | long would they remain in the feces, how long would  |         |
| 24 | they be viable, you know, after the feces is dropped |         |
| 25 | and so forth. So, yes, they would come out I         | 10:49AM |
|    |  |         |

TULSA FREELANCE REPORTERS 918-587-2878

Page 4 of 6

## HERMAN GIBB, PhD, 4-9-09

| г   |  |
|-----|--|
| 1   | anything, does it, or what does it what does this        |
|     | fact let me rephrase. What does this fact tell           |
| 2   |  |
| 3   | you concerning whether there are or are not risks to     |
| 4   | people swimming in the Illinois River?                   |
| 5   | A Well, what it tells you is that there's 11:21AM        |
| 6   | nothing unique about the Illinois River watershed in     |
| 7   | terms of bacterial impairments.                          |
| 8   | Q But it doesn't tell you it doesn't inform              |
| 9   | you concerning the risk in the Illinois any more         |
| 10  | than what other forms as to the risk in, for 11:21AM     |
| 11  | instance, the Verdigris or Washita or any other          |
| 12  | rivers across the state?                                 |
| 13  | A Doesn't inform you as to the risks? I'm not            |
| 14  | sure what you mean by that question.                     |
| 15  | MR. BULLOCK: Okay. Well, let's take our 11:22AM          |
| 16  | break.   |
| 17  | VIDEOGRAPHER: We are off the Record at                   |
| 18  | 11:22 a.m.   |
| 19  | (Following a short recess at 11:22                       |
| 20  | a.m., proceedings continued on the Record at 11:32       |
| 21  | a.m.)  |
| 22  | VIDEOGRAPHER: We are back on the Record at               |
| 23  | 11:32 a.m.   |
| 24  | <b>Q</b> Get back to your Paragraph 12, different water  |
| 25  | bodies have different sources for the pathogenic 11:32AM |
| 2.7 |  |

#### TULSA FREELANCE REPORTERS 918-587-2878

| ſ  |  |  |         |  |  |
|----|--|--|---------|--|--|
| 1  | pollution that might be found in them, don't they?     |  |         |  |  |
| 2  | A  | That's I think probably true, yes.               |         |  |  |
| 3  | Q  | Some might be heavily influenced by urban        | ;       |  |  |
| 4  | runoff?  |  |         |  |  |
| 5  | A  | That's true.                                     | 11:33AM |  |  |
| 6  | Q  | Okay. Some it could be human waste either        |         |  |  |
| 7  | from point sources or from septic tanks; correct?      |  |         |  |  |
| 8  | A  | Correct.   |         |  |  |
| 9  | Q  | Some could be other animal operations other      |         |  |  |
| 10 | than p   | poultry; correct?                                | 11:33AM |  |  |
| 11 | A  | Correct.   |         |  |  |
| 12 | Q  | And in each one of those instances, there are    |         |  |  |
| 13 | possibly different types of pathways and through       |  |         |  |  |
| 14 | which the bacteria travels before it gets to the       |  |         |  |  |
| 15 | water body? 11:33AM                                    |  |         |  |  |
| 16 | A  | Yeah.  | i       |  |  |
| 17 | Q  | And the geology and rainfall in an area can      |         |  |  |
| 18 | have   | an influence upon the type and amount of         |         |  |  |
| 19 | bacterial pollution in a particular water body,        |  |         |  |  |
| 20 | can't  | it?  | 11:33AM |  |  |
| 21 | A  | Yes.   |         |  |  |
| 22 | Q  | Okay, and when we talk about these 549           |         |  |  |
| 23 | repor  | ted impairments, each one of those needs to be   |         |  |  |
| 24 | looke  | looked at in terms of the issues that we've been |         |  |  |
| 25 | through and very well others; is that correct? 11:34AM |  |         |  |  |
|    |  |  |         |  |  |

TULSA FREELANCE REPORTERS 918-587-2878

### HERMAN GIBB, PhD, 4-9-09

| Г  |   |
|----|---|
| 1  | A Yeah, I'd agree.  |
| 2  | Q Okay, and they also need to be in terms of              |
| 3  | if you were looking at the question of prioritizing       |
| 4  | the your attempts to address these problems, one,         |
| 5  | would you want to know the level of the bacterial 11:34AM |
| 6  | pollution, that is, how high are the numbers; is          |
| 7  | that something that you'd want to look at?                |
| 8  | A The level of the bacteria pollution well,               |
| 9  | I'm sorry, with respect to what; with respect to          |
| 10 | identifying which sources? 11:35AM                        |
| 11 | Q To identify which ones to start cleaning up.            |
| 12 | A Well, you'd have to know the I mean, if                 |
| 13 | you you have to know what you talking about               |
| 14 | the level of bacteria pollution at the source or          |
| 15 | level of bacteria that you're measuring in the 11:35AM    |
| 16 | water?  |
| 17 | $oldsymbol{Q}$ Well, measuring in the water, these 549    |
| 18 | impairments. When you start prioritizing them to          |
| 19 | address them, one of the things you look at is the        |
| 20 | severity of the problem, not merely the fact that 11:35AM |
| 21 | it's been identified as impaired, wouldn't you or         |
| 22 | would you?  |
| 23 | A Well, I think, you know, you would look at              |
| 24 | that. You would also look at how many impairments         |
| 25 | there were. You know, if you are looking only at 11:35AM  |
|    |   |

TULSA FREELANCE REPORTERS 918-587-2878